



SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION OF THE BACHELOR'S THESIS

Student: Tola Joshua Shobowale

Supervisor: Pavel Vařacha

Study program:

Software Engineering

Study course/Specialization:

Information and Control Technologies

Academic year:

2023/2024

Bachelor's Thesis
 topic:

JavaScript Ecosystem and Node Package Management

Evaluation:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Evaluation: A – Best; F - Unsatisfactory					
1. Fulfilment of all points of the assignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Suitability of chosen resolution methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Division of work (chapters, subchapters, paragraphs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Working with literature and citations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Level of linguistic elaboration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Formal level of work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Theoretical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Practical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Achieved results of the work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Result of the plagiarism test:

The work was assessed in terms of plagiarism with the result 2 % identity. Work is not plagiarism.

Overall evaluation of the thesis:

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient.
 Grade F also means “I do not recommend this thesis for defence.”

I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation:

C - Good.

In the case of an “F – Insufficient” grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.

I suggest that the student fulfills all the points of his final thesis to a sufficient extent so that the thesis can be recommended for defense before the committee.

Date: 24. 5. 2024

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: